G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references 1922-3 (Mem) **File No.** 335-20

Serial Nos. 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8 9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16 17 (notes)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Preserved

Serial Nos. 17. January 1923

Part B for ~~December 1922~~

SUBJECT

Complaints of the Agent of the Varakala Devasthanam Chairman Alankaram due of the Devasthanam from the Sri Mulla Temple on the 2nd day of Kolaratteram Utsavam not-Rent & Prayer for safeguarding today the Servants of the Devasthanam

To The Hon'ble Commr., Hegde Dt.
No 2186 of 2. 11. 922
Requests that a careful search may be made & connected Records sent-to Govt:

The Deputy Commr. Hegde
No: 2168 / of 26.1.23
Deputy 13.22.23

Transfer for disposal

Printed on the Standing Paper

G. R. S. III—1] 1920

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mug)

NOTES.

File No. B35-20

FILE HEADING.

Complaint of the agent of the Parakala Mutt that certain alankarams due to the mutt from the Sri Melkote temple on the 1st day of the Kotorotsavam was ~~is~~ not sent & prayer for safe-guarding 10 days ~~it~~ sevarthas of the mutt

From

Aleobalacharlu for agent, Sri Parakala Mutt

No. Petn. Dated 7-1-21 Received 8-1-21

Diary No. 438	Enclos. – Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet

(2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 335 — 34 of 1922

Serial No.

[illegible]

81 2

From the Karyakarta Alobalacharlu of the Parakalmat; pet.d 27.1.21

States that certain maryadas due to the Matt on the 1st day of Goyale utsavam were not shewn & this is one of the 5 items of complaint against the previous peshkar Mr C. Raghavendra Rao of the temple at Melkote; that the Kotarotsavam at Melkote commenced on the 3rd inst., but the usual alankaram to be sent to the matt on the 1st day has not still been sent and this is due to the instigation of Kalamegham Alasingacharlu; that on the 10th day of the utsavam, it is usual for the Matt to arrange for certain sevas in the temple & to get Bhoja Bhojantri etc from the temple to take the seva articles there; & that as it is feared that obstructions may be placed in carrying out this, prays that immediate orders may be taken issued in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

J. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 33 of 1927

Serial No.

he does not remember that he was ever asked to take Alankara to the matt on Hetelukatte days -

39. Lakshmi Narasimhachar, the matt gumasta, was examined on behalf of the matt & his statt is as follows: -

40. In the copy of the Sanad of 1830, from the wordings "ಶಂಖ ಚಕ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೂತು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪ್ರದಕ್ಷಿಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಂದು ಸಿಂಹಾಸನ ಏರಿ ಕೂತು" it must be inferred that the mariyadas during Kotarothsavam should be on the same lines as in the Bongalothsavam -

41. To the questions as to what Ankurarpanothsavam means & what are such Utsavams, etc, the answers of the agent have been recorded -

42. In support of the matt's contention extracts from the Shalanual maintained by the matt, have been produced -

43. The temple Shalanual diary does not show that the Hetelukatte mariyadas claimed by the matt during the Kotarothsavam were sent to it -

The S. D. O is of opinion that, as (1) the several mariyadas shown to

(1) the claim of the matt is not sustainable

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No.

Reference invited to para (54) above - (a). Arguments for & against the matt's claim for Alankara mariyade on the 1st day of the Kotaro Utsavam have been made in the S. D. O's letter. It will be seen therefrom that 3 out of 4 witnesses say that the mariyade is not due to the matt & that there is no reference at all to it in the temple Shastrical. The papers produced by the matt go to show that the mariyada was shewn to the Swamy when he was present in person at Melkote in the year 1843-44 -

Subd for orders.

8D
5/1/21

(61) Whether the Alankara mariyade was used in 1843-44. If not, the question of the matt's claim does not arise.

MJ 6/8/21

(62) Draft subd.

8D. 9/1/21

(63) Issue

6-9-21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(9

G. R. S.-III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mun File No. 335 of 1920.

Serial No.
4344-256
26-2-21

26. The further report due from the D.C. may be awaited.
(Second Member) 24/25/2/21

27. The report is likely to be delayed if advice is not specifies for its submission. Mrs with before rec.

Recd 26.2.21

28. Put up a Draft calling for the report duly by 15th March
24/28/2/21

AMT 28/2

29. Draft subd.

88 1/3 → BL 1/3

Agreed Secy 24/3/21

7 No. 3034 of 1-3-21 Orders for the report promised within the 15th March 21

8. No. 342 of 16.3.21 Orders of the Govt: received relating to the subject.

9. Draft returning the records is subd.

BL 24/3/21 31

AMT 24.3

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 335 of 1922

Serial No.

48. An extract from the sannadu marupadas to the Sri Parakkal matt during Kotarotsavam is put up as noted below:-

49 "ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಜ ಮಠದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಕೊಟಾರೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಗಳು."

50. "ಈ ಮಠದವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಿಂದ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಗಳು. ಇವು ಹಿಂದಿನಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ."

51 In the matter of the ~~interpretation~~ interpretation of the above extracts, kind attention is invited to paras 4 to 7 of the S. D. O's letter.

2 As the matt has produced only the Shastmal (diary) of 1895 & 1843-44 in support of their contention, it is probable that the matt has no records for the last 20 to 30 yrs to support their contention. If necessary, enquiry has to be made of the matt on the point.

~~[illegible]~~

53 The scale of expenditure of the temple fixed in 1900 does not give details for ~~of~~ Ketchukkattu Utsavams

Yes.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(24

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No.

65 The Alankara maryade claimed by the matt during Kotarothsavam, has not been proved by the temple records. Subd for orders.

8.9.21 8/9/21

66. Why did the Peshkar of the year 1885, 93, 94 and 95 make any reference to the Alankara maryade was sent to the officer of Kataraksha? 12/9/21

67. Subd with another draft enquiry to the Peshkar of the Melkote temple, as personally directed by the Secretary.

20/9/21 20/9/21 Issued 21/9/21

15 To, The Peishkar of Melkote Temple

No 1530 Dy. 21 9 1921

Enquire if there are any papers to show that Alankara maryade was shown to the matt at any during the last 30 yrs & also furnish extracts from the temple diary connected with such maryade shown the matt in 1895 as evidenced by the matt Records.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mys. File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No.

which the Karyakarta of the Mutt ought to have made to the Dy Commr, Mysore before the 9th instant to grant orders. Before the 12 January but it is not possible to get within the short interval a report from the Dy Commr.

10. The file may therefore be forwarded to the Dy Commr for immediate disposal and communication of the result to the Mutt authorities.

(Sd) 8/1/21
Dewan

8-1-21

12.

2 To the D. C. Mysore., No. A 28·1·21
Forwards the file for immediate disposal & a reply being sent to the Mutt in time before the 12th instant.

3 To the Agent of the Mutt., No. B. 28·1·21
Information [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mug. File No. 55 of 1910

Serial No.

the honors on the 1st day of the Kotarotsavam

35. The 1st man Madri Singalachar states that he has been doing service there for the last 45 yrs; that Bhatri Narasimhachar has been serving for about 20 yrs; that Aravamudu Iyengar has been serving for about 60 to 70 yrs; that he does not know whether Alankara is due to the Matt daily from the temple; that during the time of Mr Srinivasachar, the then Mug: Secretary it was decided that the Alankaram should be handed over to the Matt gumasta; that since then the Parpattegar ~~either~~ has been sending it with somebody to the Matt; that he has not at all been in the habit of taking the Alankaram to the Matt even on hetelukable days; that he is bound to go to the temple every day & on unavoidable occasions, a substitute will be sent; that he has never been fined for declining to take Alankaram; and that he has not taken alankaram to the Matt during the Chikka Ooyale Utsavam -

6 The ~~truth~~ statement of Aravamudu Iyengar the 2nd man is as follows:—

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. [illegible] of 19 [illegible]

Serial No.

That he & Bhatri Narasimhachar have been attending to the ~~of~~ adigemane service by turns for 6 months each; that he was appointed in 1900; that during his period of service, it was usual to take Alankaram to the matt from the temple; that it was also usual to deliver it to the matt gumasta, when it ~~was~~ became too late to take it to the matt; that it was also usual for all the three kitchen servants to take the ~~Alankaram~~ Nityakatle Alankaram to the matt; that on ketelukatle days like the Vairamudi, Dhare, Vajavalli, Krishnarajamudi, for 5 days during the Dasara Utsavam, it was usual to take the Alankara to the matt; that it is not usual to take Alankaram during ~~the~~ Ashtathirtham & Kotarothsavam; that the question was raised in 1902 but remained undecided; ~~&~~ that he is not aware of any fines having been inflicted in the past in this connection; & that he has seen Mudi Singalachar taking Alankara to the matt.

The statement of Bhatri Narasimhachar is as follows:—

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2267

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No.	
	It provides Rs 2-4-0 for Chaturmasa Sankalpa and Rs 450 for Kotarotsava Subha for orders-
	17.5.21 18/5/21
	54. Advise the Agent of the Parkala Matt. Put up a Draft- 19/5/21
ST 1917	
	55. Draft put-up-
	19.5.21 19.5.21
	56. Draft Memo) 21/25/5/21
6201-198 25-5-1921.	57. Sent & approved
	26.5.21 Issued 21/26/5/21
VI	To, Agent of Sri Parakala Matt No. 4254 dt. 31.5.21 Request to forward documents, if any, to show that mangalas were shown regularly during Kotarotsava of the last 20 or 30 years.

NOTE—Contn. sheet. No 1

(6

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Dmz File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No. 4

From the D. C. Mysore.,
letter No. 342 J 20-21 J 12-1-21.

With regard to the 1st Day's alankara due to the Matt, but withheld, states that in the absence of records & in view of conflicting statements, a detailed enquiry into the matter is necessary. With regard to the honors due on the 10th day of the Kotarothsavam, he has asked the Dy: Amildar to go to Melkote & see that everything is conducted according to Mamool —

14. This report was taken note of by the Executive Head, after which enquiring into the matter personally & the Melkote — so far as concern.

[illegible]
13-1-21 RL 14/1/21

Please put in P. Order @ once
No 1230-32 Dmz-J 7-4-96 cited in Dy's letter —.

[illegible]
14-1-21

[illegible] a reply may [illegible] a "requisition" [illegible] seen by [illegible] it, perhaps the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] RL 14/1/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mm. File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No.	
16	Report no. 1468 of 25-9-21 from the Peshkar of the Melkote temple-

States that no references regarding Kankara marigades shown to the Sri Parakalaswami during Kotarothsavam are available in the temple Shastras (diary)-

69 The Peshkar reports that the temple diary was examined from 1891 up to the current year, but no references were traceable there on the point at issue i.e sending Kankara marigade to the Sri Parakalaswamy Mutt during Kotarothsavam-

70. As regards the Kankara marigade shown on Pushya Suddha saptami in 1895, the Peshkar reports that from 26-10-1894 to the close of January 1895, no diary has been written up & that the particular date falls within this period-

71 Submd for orders on the points noted in paras 44 & 65 of the note-

SD
7/10/21

I have carefully studied the records, as directed at the time of my inspection & have been camping for revision in 1902 when an enquiry was made into it. The Cameca records have not been succeeded. The Govt of Mysore/Sd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2-[illegible]

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 33 of 19 21

Serial No.

the Natt during all "Ankurarpana Utsavas" are not identical & (2) as the limited interpretation put upon the Sanad wordings by the Natt agent is not supported by the temple diary (3) as the temple servants state that the claim of the Natt for Kotaprolsava Alankara is new and unauthorized. ~~the claim of the Natt is not supported by temple accounts & records~~.

4. If the above note does not clearly explain the case, the Kannada papers will be read out, if necessary.

Submitted for orders.

8D 6-5-21 BG 6/5/21

Please put up the Urgent book alone 7/5/21

Please put up Extract showing the Sanad on which the Claim is based and make clearly out a list of Sanad Claims & by the Natt- Also there seems in the Natt Diary, that these Services have been doing to it but for by denying the last 20 or 30 years

BG 13/5/21.

copy sent. ack 9/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 335 of 1920.

Serial No.	
13	To The Peishkar, Melkote Temple. Or. 1062 dt. 22.8.1921 Calls for extracts, if any, from the Shanmal Diary in the temple, of the Alankara Mariyades shown to the Swamigalu of Sri Parakala Matt — for 10 years & during 1843-44 when the Swamigal visited the place for Kotarotsava
14	From the Peshkar of the Melkote temple, No. 108 dt 28-8-21 — States that there is no entry in the temple Diary in the matter of Kotarotsava alankara having been sent to the Sri Parakala matt at Melkote during the years 1885, 1893, 1894, 1897, 1916, 17, 18, 19 & 20 — & that there is also no reference in the Diary of alankara having been sent to the Sri Swami during the Kotarotsavam of 1843-44 — In the copy of the extract of the Diary relating to the 10th Day of the Kotarotsava of 1843-44 sent by the Peshkar, the Mariyade shown to the Swamy has been mentioned, there being no mention there of any alankara having been sent to the Swamy. —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No.

3. The previous petition, 1/12 20 from certain Pudukottai [illegible] complaining against the Inspectress [illegible] were forwarded to the [illegible] for a report, [illegible] 5. 21

4. The chief [illegible] of complaint in the present petn of the matt [illegible] that the [illegible] from the temple the matt on the [illegible] was not sent [illegible] appears that the matter [illegible] the 10th Aug, [illegible]

5. [illegible]

8/1/21

To-day).

To order

8/1/21

This is a Representation

6446 2268

S. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 335 of 1920.

Serial No.	
9	To D. C. Tiruvarur — No. 3295 dt. 24.3.21. I do not see any letter of calls to — early submn. of the report already called for.
10	From the D. C. Mayavaram, letter No. C.842 dt. 20.2.21 & 28.6.21. Submitting original petition of Rao's and Subbiah in the case of together with [illegible]

37. The report dealo with the suspension of 1st day honors done to the Sri Parakalamath in connection with the Kotarothsavam in the Sri Narayanaswamy temple at Melkote.

23. The following temple kitchen servants were exam by the S.D.O and their statements have been recorded.

1) Madri Singalachar
2) Ramanujampar
3) Bhatri Narasimhachar
4) Venkatachar.

3 All the above persons, except the 3rd one, deny the claim of the math to

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. of 1920

Serial No.

That Aravamudu Iyengar & himself attend to the Adigemane duties by turns; that Nityakatle Alankaram is taken to the matt twice a day; that the Alankaram is taken to the matt by turns by the servants there; that Alankaram is taken to the matt on hetelukatle days like Vairamudi, Krishnarajamudi, Sri Narasimha Jayanti on the Hill; that Alankaram is taken to the matt during Chikka Ooyale Utsavam, as ordered in 1908., that Alankaram is taken during Dodda ooyale Utsavam & Gokulashtami; that during Kotarotsavam alankaram is taken twice a day for 10 days; that in 1921 there was some takarar for handing over charge of the work to him; that since 1879 he has been doing his duties without interruption; & that the above Alankaram is sent to the Matt for a long time -

35. The statement of Venkatachar is to the effect that he has been acting for one Makara Narasingachar for the last 18 months; that he has never taken Alankara to the matt; & that

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mys File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No. 12

From the agent of the Parakala Matt.
Petn & 28/6/21.
States that there are no records in the matt to ~~prove that~~ show that mariyadas were shown to the ~~Lauf~~ matt from the temple during Kotaro-thsavam for the last 20 or 30 yrs; that extract from the matt Sha Anual showing that Alankar mariyade was shewn to the swamy when he ~~visited~~ was at Melkote during Kotarothsavam of a particular year & that the copy of the Samad & the Sha Anual kept by the matt Shirstadar gumasta Ghobbur Varadachar have already been sent up —
59. The matt agent says that if addl documents are found, they will be sent up. It is a months since this communication was recd from the Agent, and no further communication has been recd. The papers referred to by the Agent were here when ~~the~~ ~~com~~ he was written to in may last to send up proofs, if any, for the matt having recd Alankara mariyade during Kotarothsavam for the last 20 to 30 years —

Were do we relate to the last 20 and 30 yrs

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(7

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mrg File No. 33 — of 1920

Serial No.

17. The reply will be taken & put up. In the meanwhile, the file is submitted for orders, the matter being one of pressing urgency.

18. Sent under the certificate signed by the Dr. ~~Surgeon~~ a detailed enquiry appears to be called for.

Allen
14/1/21

Rosen)

The Dy. Engineer (in charge) reports that the complainant should pay the charges of peons on the spot for Kataraksaram requires further enquiry. And that all due formalities will be observed on the 16th day.

Dewan) 14/1/21

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JAN 14 1921
Reg. No. 4739

21 Inspd
15/1/21

15-1-21

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 335 of 1920

Serial No.

file refd. to in para 16 of the notes is among the Agra Mutiny papers and will be put up on hpot return to Sec. Govt.

A draft letter to Dy Comm. is subd. for Approval or orders.

23/10.

23/10/24

17 10, Dy. Comm. Mysore Dt. No. 2186 dt. 2-11-1921 Requests that a Criminal Search may be caused to be made & the connected Records sent up early

Newspaper Magistrate's Dist Mgr: Comm: in Mysore for disposal.

Typed 23/10/23

Transferred to the Privy Council for disposal of the Office

6-12-23

Govt 3-12-23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.